How Threat Modeling Can Influence ICS Security Posture

Luca Bongiorni 21st September 2019





• Principal Offensive Security Engineer at



- After this presentation, you will:
 - Understand what is Threat Modeling and how can help you Securing your Industrial Control Systems
 - Learn about its State of Art and which tools you can use nowadays

Vulnerability Vs Threat Vs Risk

Close the **Open Door** (**Vulnerability**) to keep out the **Bear** (**Threat**).

Otherwise we are **Screwed** (**Risk**).





Converged plantwide ethernet design and implementation guide, CISCO Systems and Rockwell Automation, 2011

ENTRY POINTS

Most of the Attacks Methods are related to **Application Security***

(i.e. OWASP Top 10 and SANS Top 25)

Which are:

- Well documented
- Already have recommended mitigations available



*

Key SCADA Attack Methods



Three Reasons for Threat Modeling

- Produces Measurable Data >> # of Threats & Associated Risk
- It Smooth the path to Compliance >> Happy Auditors
- It Saves Money >> Happy CFO/CEO/Shareholders
 - You Spot Security Flaws When It's Much Cheaper to Fix Them



Integrating Software Assurance into the Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC), 2010

Software Development Life Cycle



Secure Software Development Life Cycle

Threat Modeling Process



STRIDE

- Invented in 1999 & Adopted by Microsoft in 2002
- The most mature
- It evaluates the system architecture by using Data Flow Diagrams (DFD)
- It is used to identify system's entities and boundaries
- It applies a general set of known threats based on its acronym for its entity or boundary

	Threat	Property Violated	Threat Definition
S	Spoofing	Authentication	Pretending being something/someone else
Т	Tampering	Integrity	Modifying something on net/disk/memory/etc
R	Repudiation	Non Repudiation	Claiming that you didn't do something or viceversa
1	Information Disclosure	Confidentiality	Access information to someone not authorized
D	Denial of Service	Availability	Exhausting resources needed to provide service
E	Elevation of Privilege	Authorization	Allowing someone to do something not authorized

STRIDEPP (ICS-STRIDE)

	Threat	Property Violated	Threat Definition	
S	Spoofing	Authentication	Pretending being something/someone else	
Т	Tampering	Integrity	Modifying something on net/disk/memory/etc	
R	Repudiation	Non Repudiation	Claiming that you didn't do something or viceversa	
I.	Information Disclosure	Confidentiality	Access information to someone not authorized	
D	Denial of Service	Availability	Exhausting resources needed to provide service	
Е	Elevation of Privilege	Authorization	Allowing someone to do something not authorized	
Ρ	Physical DoS	Resilience	Exhausting ICS operational controls in order to mine its reliability	
Ρ	Physical Harm	Safety	Undermining/Bypassing ICS safety controls in order to cause physical harm to assets and humans	

DREADE (ICS-DREAD)

• DREAD methodology is used to rate, compare and prioritize the severity of risk presented by each threat that is classified using STRIDE.

	Threat	Definition
D	Damage	How much damage will be caused?
R	Reproducibility	How easy is it to reproduce the threat exploit?
E	Exploitability	What is needed to exploit this threat?
А	Affected users	How many users will be affected?
D	Discoverability	How easy is it to discover this threat?
E	Environmental Impact	How many living casualties there will be?

Purdue Enterprise Reference Architecture

A 1990s reference model for enterprise architecture



The Hamletic Question: Threat Modeling Yes or No?

Nowadays the ICS world is split in two:

Companies that do Threat Modeling already

- Recurring Problems:
 - Lack of Adequate Tools
 - Resources Vs # of Threats detected and mitigated

Companies that don't do Threat Modeling

- Recurring Problems:
 - Lack of Resources (i.e. Time and Budget)
 - Lack of Expertise (i.e. Security Architect)





SANS ICS Community

Work Instruction or Operating Procedure for Threat Modeling

Product Security Leader 3 wk ago

Has anyone developed a work instruction or standard operating procedure for threat modeling of ICS/IIOT devices (or network)?

(I'm trying to do an analysis of what we can get the process architects, control engineers, or entry-level security professionals to document so that we can more efficiently use our principle ICS/IIOT security experts. This will also help us maintain a pipeline to get starting professionals trained and exposed to knowledge, skills, abilities, etc.. I will start by mapping out the workflow, so I was just curious if anyone has already done this for their org.)



SANS ICS Community

Threat modelling

Lead Automation Engineer 5 mths ago

Hello Everyone,

I am curious to find out if people are creating threat models for their ICS? If so what tools are you using and are you happy with the tool?

We are currently using the Microsoft tool and it really does not lend itself to modeling an ICS environment. It is fine if you are developing C++ type software but not for the type of "integration" that normally makes up an ICS.

Thanks



SANS ICS Community

Question for Engineers - Visio vs. CAD

Cybersecurity Engineer & Consultant • 9 mths ago

To engineers out there.

How would you want to see a Visio diagram? I have been working on a cyber security project using Visio to diagram an environment. Visio is generally fairly high level and conceptual. Some engineers on the project are wanting more detail, but I think what they want is a more physical representation of the environment. The physical

layout will most likely be a handful of devices with minimal connections - everything is architected and separated by config e.g. VLANs, zones, etc.

Ultimately this will probably end up as a CAD drawing (that I won't create).

How would an engineer want to see what doesn't physically exist (logical, config) in a way that makes sense?

I'm thinking of replacing Visio style icons (brick wall for a firewall) with plain boxes to make it look more like a CAD drawing.

Which tools are you using for Threat Modeling?







A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н			
1			Dick Acco	comont Tom	alata Ex					
2			KISK ASSE	ssment ren	iplate E	ccer				
3			Consequences							
4			Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic			
5		Likelihood:	1	2	3	4	5			
6		A (almost certain)	н	н	E	E	E			
7		B (likely)	M	н	Н	E	E			
8		C (possible)	L'AND	м	Н	E	E			
9		D (unlikely)			M	н	E			
10		E (rare)			M	н	H			
11										
12										
13		Key								
14		E	Extreme Risk: Immediate							
15		н	High Risk: Action should b							
16		м	Moderate Risk: Action sho							
17		L	Low Risk: Routine acceptance of the risk.							
18										
19				Risk Status						
20			8		Provide a la construction de la					
21			in the second	uopen uolosed uin Progress unionitoring UK	(esolved					
22				009266						
23										
24										

V Microsoft Visio





Microsoft Threat Modeling Tool

mySample1 - Threat Modeling Tool 2014 _ 0 × File Edit View Settings Diagram Reports Help **A** Q **A** Ľ Threat List Filter Diagram 1 × By Threat State By Category By Diagram/Interaction Generic Trust Boundary ▲ 📝 Threat States (22) Not Started (21) Mitigated (0) Web Web Server 10 Not Applicable (1) Application RPC/DCOM Needs Investigation (0) Machine Trust Boundary нттр Browser Client Properties 111. b. Diagram Search 22 Threats Displayed, 22 Total Diagram 1 Name (v) Threat: Potential Lack of Input Validation for Web Server Category: Tampering Not Started High • **Custom Attributes** (v) Threat: Spoofing the Web Application External Entity Category: Spoofing Not Started ▼ High X Threat: Spoofing the Web Server Process Category: Spoofing Not Started ▼ High . Add New Custom Attribute Threat: Spoofing the Browser Client Process Category: Spoofing Not Started High (v) Threat: Potential Lack of Input Validation for Browser Client Category: Tampering Not Started High (v) Threat: Web Server Process Memory Tampered Category: Tampering Not Started High Threat: Potential Data Repudiation by Browser Client Category: Repudiation N/A Not Applicable ▼ High This threat requires justification explaining why it does not apply. Threat: Data Flow Sniffing Category: Information Disclosure Not Started ▼ High ▼ -Threat Information Notes - no entries

It may become messy in ICS





23 | @LucaBongiorni | 2019-09-21





• What Can Do:

Good for Risk Assessment

- Allows evaluating a control system network as part of a comprehensive cybersecurity assessment
- Specify cybersecurity recommendations
- Report using standards-based information analysis
- Provide a baseline cybersecurity posture

• What CANNOT Do:

- Bad for Threat Modeling
 - Validate accuracy of user inputs or Identify Threats from a STRIDE POV
- Ensure implementation of cybersecurity enhancements or mitigation techniques
- Identify all known cybersecurity vulnerabilities
- Re-Use Existing 3D models of the ICS Plant in scope

ICS Threat Modeling Nowadays

- Not yet Fully Implemented
- A lot of uncertainties on:
 - How to do it Systematically
 - How to Scale it
 - Which Tool to use
 - $_{\odot}\,$ Excel spreadsheets and Risk Matrixes
 - Microsoft Threat Modeling tool
- Extremely Time Consuming (due the reasons above)
- Need for a Better Approach
 - Ad-Hoc Tools
 - Continuous Threat Modeling & Dedicated Methodology for ICS
 - CATHAMA

STRIDEPP + DREADE + PERA/CPwE = CATHAMA



Continuous Advanced Threat Hunting And Modeling Activity





- Based on Assets Levels (0 to 5) Division from Purdue Model (PERA)
- Continuous Effort in Hunting, Analyzing, Evaluating and Prioritizing Threats
- Risk Rating It is supported by Intel Feeds, Existing Vulnerabilities of each Asset (e.g. CVEs) and Automated Scanners reports
- Able to Simulate Existing Threats and What happens to the plant in case of a patch/hotfix is going to be applied.
 - E.g. Device A.1.2.3 has new 1-day RCE. It is used in different parts of the plant. One more critical than other places. We need to simulate what happens when patch is applied. SLA uptime is impacted? Is it safe to patch now?

Your Success Stories or Opinions Are Valuable!

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/55FDWT6

Wanna Hear More About Threat Modeling in ICS?



Resources

- Usual standards related to Risk Assessment mostly: NIST 800-82, NERC-CIP, IEC 6244.
- The problem I see in ICS, related to Threat modeling, is the lack of proper tools and a specific resources exclusively related to Threat Modeling (and not Risk Assessment).
- Said that I really love the two following books:
 - Threat Modeling: Designing for Security, Adam Shostack, 2014
 - Hacking Industrial Control Systems, Clint Bodungen, 2017